MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

ORTHOTEXAS PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-14-2756-01

MFDR Date Received

May 08, 2014

Respondent Name

TX PUBLIC SCHOOL WC PROJECT

Carrier's Austin Representative

BOX NUMBER: 01

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "On this date of service, you denied the charges as not timely filed. We file our claims electronically. Availity is our clearing house. The report will show the day we billed the claim, and the day your office confirmed receiving the claim. I have included in the reconsideration the report from our clearing house proving timely filing for your review. Per the clearing house report DOS 11/7/2013 was billed 11/13/2013 and confirmed mailed to you on 11/18/2013 which shows that these claim was process within the 95 days of timely format..."

Amount in Dispute: \$230.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "CRF first received a November 7, 2013 medical report and bill from Requestor for services rendered on February 19, 2014, which is 104 days after the date of service. Contrary to Requestor's assertions, CRF did not receive an electronic or paper bill from Requestor itself, or through its third-party vendor Availity, within 95 days after the November 7, 2013 date of service. Moreover, at no time during the 95-day period following the date of service did a representative from Requestor or Availity communicate with CRF about the payment status of its bill. Finally, the HCFA-1500 that DRF received from Requestor on February 19, 2014 bears the date of '2/05/14' in Box 31 of the form. In short, our review of Requestor's correspondence and Claimant's workers' compensation file as a whole do not support Requestor's representation that it timely billed DRF for the services in question within 95 days. (See attached Affidavit-Exhibit E). Accordingly, Requestor has failed to establish that the outpatient office visit of November 7, 2013 was billed in accordance with Division Rule 133.20(b) and is payable in this claim."

Response Submitted by: CREATIVE RISK FUNDING

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
November 7, 2013	Professional Services	\$230.00	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for health care providers to pursue a medical

- fee dispute.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20 sets out the procedures for health care providers to submit workers' compensation medical bills for reimbursement.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4 sets out the rules for non-Commission communications.
- 4. Texas Labor Code §408.027 sets out the rules for timely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
- 5. Texas Labor Code §408.0272 sets out the rules for certain exceptions for untimely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
- 6. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - 29 The time limit for filing has expired.

Issues

- 1. Is the timely filing deadline applicable to the medical bills for the services in dispute?
- 2. Did the requestor forfeit the right to reimbursement for the services in dispute?

Findings

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20(b) states, in pertinent part, that, "except as provided in Texas Labor Code §408.0272(b)(c) and (d), a health care provider shall not submit a medical bill later than the 95th day after the date the services are provided. In accordance with subsection (c) of the statute, the health care provider shall submit the medical bill to the correct workers' compensation insurance carrier not later than the 95th day after the date the health care provider is notified of the health care provider's erroneous submission of the medical bill. A health care provider who submits a medical bill to the correct workers' compensation insurance carrier shall include a copy of the original medical bill submitted, a copy of the explanation of benefits (EOB) if available, and sufficient documentation to support why one or more of the exceptions for untimely submission of a medical bill under §408.0272 should be applied..." Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds no convincing documentation to support that any of the exceptions described in Texas Labor Code §408.0272 apply to the services in this dispute. For that reason, the requestor in this dispute was required to submit the medical bill not later than 95 days after the date the disputed services were provided.
- 2. Texas Labor Code §408.027(a) states, in pertinent part, that "Failure by the health care provider to timely submit a claim for payment constitutes a forfeiture of the provider's right to reimbursement for that claim for payment." 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4(h) states that "Unless the great weight of evidence indicates otherwise, written communications shall be deemed to have been sent on: (1) the date received, if sent by fax, personal delivery, or electronic transmission or, (2) the date postmarked if sent by mail via United States Postal Service regular mail, or, if the postmark date is unavailable, the later of the signature date on the written communication or the date it was received minus five days. If the date received minus five days is a Sunday or legal holiday, the date deemed sent shall be the next previous day which is not a Sunday or legal holiday." Review of the submitted information finds no documentation to support that a medical bill was submitted within 95 days from the date the services were provided. Therefore, pursuant to Texas Labor Code §408.027(a), the requestor in this medical fee dispute has forfeited the right to reimbursement due to untimely submission of the medical bill for the services in dispute.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

		March 9, 2015	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, 37 Texas Register 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.